Integrated Mosquito and Vector Management Program Plan

**APPENDIX** 

I

SAN MATEO COUNTY MOSQUITO-BORNE VIRUS SURVEILLANCE AND RESPONSE PLAN

Protecting public health since 1916

1351 Rollins Road Burlingame, CA 94010

phone (650) 344-8592 fax (650) 344-3843

www.smcmvcd.org

The San Mateo County Mosquito-borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan was developed to provide a semi-quantitative measure of virus transmission risk to humans that could be used by District staff to plan and modulate control activities. This plan was developed in 2017 using the California Mosquito-borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan (2017) with certain factors adjusted to reflect conditions in the District. Six surveillance factors are measured and analyzed to determine the level of risk for human infection and, thereby, gauge the appropriate response level:

- 1. Environmental or climatic conditions (e.g., snowpack, rainfall, and temperature)
- 2. Adult Culex vector abundance
- 3. Virus infection rate in Culex mosquito vectors
- 4. Sentinel chicken seroconversions
- 5. Fatal infections in birds
- 6. Infections in humans

Each of these factors is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 representing conditions indicative of a high risk of human infection with a mosquito-borne virus. An average rating is determined for the five factors and is correlated with the response level as follows:

- ➤ Level 1: Normal Season (1.0 to 2.5)
- Level 2: Emergency Planning (2.6 to 4.0)
- Level 3: Epidemic Conditions (4.1 to 5.0)

Table 1 provides a worksheet to assist in determining the appropriate rating for each of the risk factors for West Nile virus (WNV). While this table is specific to WNV, similar decision-making procedures should be followed for other vector-borne diseases.

Table 2 (Mosquito Risk Rating Worksheet) provides a worksheet to assist in determining the appropriate rating and response level based on the evaluation of risk factors. The term "average" refers to averages over non-epidemic years in a specific region, such as that within the boundaries of a local mosquito and vector control district. Averages typically are determined for the preceding 5-year period.

Surveillance data can be managed and risk level calculated in time and space using the CalSurv Gateway. Risk calculations should be applied within a defined area, typically encompassing a local mosquito and vector control district. Use of smaller spatial units (e.g., city boundaries) is ideal due to spatial variation in virus activity and the need to define potential target areas for mosquito control at finer spatial scales. Due to spatial variation in the distributions of humans and the dominant vector species, *Cx. tarsalis* and the *Cx. pipiens* complex, separate calculation of risk for urban and rural areas will be used where applicable.

For surveillance factors 4–6 (chickens, birds, and humans), the specific region is defined as the area within the agency's boundary and the broad region includes the area within 150 miles (~241 km) of the agency's boundary.

Each of these surveillance factors can differ in impact and significance according to time of year and geographic region. Climate is used prospectively to forecast risk during the coming season. Climatic factors provide the earliest indication of the potential for increased mosquito abundance and virus transmission and constitute the only risk factor measured in many areas from the start of the calendar year through mid-spring when enzootic surveillance commences. Other

factors that may inform control efforts as the season progresses are typically, in chronological order: mosquito abundance, infections in non-humans (e.g., dead birds for WNV, mosquitoes, and sentinel chickens), and infections in humans. Enzootic indicators measure virus amplification within the Culex-bird cycle and provide nowcasts of risk, whereas human infections document tangential transmission and are the outcome measure of forecasts and nowcasts. Response to the calculated risk level should consider the time of year (e.g., epidemic conditions in October would warrant a less aggressive response compared to epidemic conditions in July because cooler weather in late fall will contribute to declining risk of arbovirus transmission).

Table 1. Mosquito-borne Virus Risk Assessment.

WNV Surveillance Factor	Assessment Value	Benchmark		Assigned Value	
1. <b>Environmental Conditions</b> High-risk environmental conditions	1	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks ≤ 56°F			
include above-normal temperatures	2	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks 57–65°F			
with or without above-normal rainfall, runoff, or snowpack.	3	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks 66–72°F			
Weather data link: http://ipm.ucdavis.edu	4	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks 73–79°F			
	5	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks > 79°F			
			Cx tars	Cx pip	
2. Relative abundance of adult	1	Vector abundance well below average (≤ 50%)			
female Culex tarsalis and Cx. pipiens complex mosquitoes* Determined by trapping adults, enumerating them by species, and comparing numbers to those previously documented for an area for the prior 2-week period.	2	Vector abundance below average (51–90%)			
	3	Vector abundance average (91–150%)			
	4	Vector abundance above average (151–300%)			
	5	Vector abundance well above average (> 300%)			
3. Virus infection rate in <i>Cx</i> .	1	MIR = 0			
tarsalis and Cx. pipiens complex mosquitoes*	2	MIR = 0.1–1.0			
Tested in pools of $\leq 50$ females.	3	MIR = 1.1–2.0			
Test results expressed as minimum infection rate per 1,000 mosquitoes	4	MIR = 2.1–5.0			
tested (MIR) for the prior 2-week period.	5	MIR > 5.0			
4. Sentinel chicken seroconversion	1	No seroconversions in broad region			
Number of chickens in a flock that develop antibodies to WNV during	2	One or more seroconversions in broad region			
the prior 2-week period. Number of flocks with seropositives in a region	3	One or two seroconversions in a single flock in specific region			
is an additional consideration. Typically 7- 10 chickens per flock. Only include this factor in	4	More than two seroconversions in a single flock or two flocks with one or two seroconversions in specific region			
calculations when sentinel chicken program is actively maintained.	5	More than two seroconversions per flock in multiple flocks in specific region			
5. <b>Dead bird infection</b> Number of birds that have tested	1	No positive dead birds in broad region			
positive (recent infections only) for	2	One or more positive dead birds in broad region			
WNV during the prior 3-month period. This longer time period	3	One positive dead bird in specific region			
reduces the impact of zip code	4	Two positive dead birds in specific region			
closures during periods of increased WNV transmission.	5	Three or more dead birds in specific region			
6. Human cases	3	One human infection in broad region			
Do not include this factor in calculations if no cases are detected	4	Two or more human infections in specific region			
in region.	5	One or more human infections in specific region	<i>C</i>	$c - \cdot$	
Response Level / Average Rating: Normal Season (1.0 to 2.5) Emergency Planning (2.6 to 4.0)		TOTAL	Cx tars	Cx pip	
Epidemic (4.1 to 5.0)		AVERAGE			

## Table 2 Mosquito Risk Rating Worksheet

# Risk Rating: 1.0 to 2.5

#### Conditions

Cool to moderate seasonal temperatures (< 65°F)

Culex mosquito abundance at or below 5-year average (key indicator = adults of vector species)

No virus infection detected in mosquitoes

No recently infected WNV-positive dead birds

No human cases

#### Response

Conduct routine public education (eliminate standing water around homes, use personal protection measures)

Conduct routine mosquito and virus surveillance activities

Comply with National Pollutant Discharge Eliminations System (NPDES) permit if applying pesticides to waters of the United States

Conduct routine mosquito control with emphasis on larval control

Inventory pesticides and equipment

Evaluate pesticide resistance in vector species

Ensure adequate emergency funding

Release routine press notices

Establish and maintain routine communication with local office of emergency services personnel; obtain Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) training

## Risk Rating: 2.6 to 4.0

#### Conditions

Temperature above average (66–79°F)

Adult Culex mosquito abundance greater than 5-year average (150% to 300% above normal)

One or more virus infections detected in *Culex* mosquitoes (MIR < 5 per 1,000 tested)

One to five recently infected WNV-positive dead birds in specific region

One human case in broad or specific region

WEE detected in small towns or suburban area

#### Response

Review epidemic response plan

Enhance public education (include messages on the signs and symptoms of encephalitis; seek medical care if needed; inform public about pesticide applications if appropriate)

Enhance information to public health providers

Increase surveillance and control of mosquito larvae

Increase adult mosquito surveillance

Increase number of mosquito pools tested for virus

Consider or increase localized chemical control of adult mosquitoes as appropriate

Consider contacting commercial applicators in anticipation of large-scale adulticiding

Review candidate pesticides for availability and susceptibility of vector mosquito species

Ensure notification of key agencies of presence of viral activity, including the local office of emergency services

# Risk Rating: 4.1 to 5.0

#### Conditions

Temperature well above average (> 79°F)

Adult vector population extremely high (> 300% above normal)

Virus infections detected in multiple pools of Culex tarsalis or Cx. pipiens mosquitoes (MIR > 5 per 1,000 tested)

More than five recently infected WNV-positive dead birds and multiple reports of dead birds in specific region

More than one human case in specific region

# Response

Conduct media campaign

Continue enhanced larval surveillance and control of immature mosquitoes

Broaden geographic coverage of adult mosquito surveillance

Accelerate adult mosquito control as appropriate by ground and/or air

Coordinate the response with the local Office of Emergency Services or if activated, the Emergency Operation Center (EOC)

Determine whether declaration of a local emergency should be considered by the County Board of Supervisors (or Local Health Officer)

Determine whether to activate a Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) plan at the local level

Continue mosquito education and control programs until mosquito abundance and enzootic virus activity are substantially reduced and no additional human cases are detected